From: [redacted]
To: Crown Casework
Cc: calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk; wpwsobjection@gmail.com
Subject: Objection to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, Site A, MOD Bicester
Date: 15 September 2026 18:17:28

You don't often get email from [redacted]. Learn why this is important

Dear Secretary of State,

I am writing as a member of the public to object to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, the proposed 1,256-person asylum accommodation centre at Site A, MOD Bicester, Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE.

I ask that planning permission be refused.

I have concerns about the completeness of the evidence submitted with the application. Important ecological and technical work remains outstanding, and significant questions remain around fire safety, ground conditions, infrastructure and the overall suitability of the site.

I am particularly concerned about the safety and wellbeing of women, young females and children who already live in Bicester and the surrounding communities. The proposed accommodation would house up to 1,256 men in a relatively isolated location, and I am concerned that the potential impact of such a large concentration of male residents on the safety and safeguarding of women and children living locally has not been properly assessed.

This concern cannot be considered in isolation from the existing policing arrangements in Bicester. There is no active police station operating within Bicester itself, which raises legitimate questions about the capacity for an effective and timely police response should incidents occur. In my view, the combination of a development housing up to 1,256 men, the number of women and children living in the surrounding area, the site's relative isolation and the absence of an active police station within Bicester creates a significant public-safety and safeguarding concern.

I am particularly concerned that the application does not appear to demonstrate that sufficient consideration has been given to the potential risks to women and children using local roads, footpaths, public spaces and community facilities. These are ordinary members of the local community who should be able to go about their daily lives without feeling that their safety or freedom to use their local area has been compromised.

The scale of the proposal makes this especially important. This is not a small development: accommodation for up to 1,256 men represents a substantial concentration of people in one location. Any assessment of the site's suitability should therefore properly consider not only the needs of the proposed residents, but also the safety, wellbeing and quality of life of the existing local population.

I am also concerned that ground contamination has not been fully investigated. Previous land-quality work identified potential contamination issues, yet no borehole investigation has been carried out to resolve those risks.

There are also significant flooding and drainage concerns. Parts of the site are at high

risk of surface-water flooding and aspects of the drainage strategy remain unresolved.

The transport evidence also raises serious questions. The Transport Assessment repeatedly refers to the wrong road, while the B4011 serving the site has limited pedestrian infrastructure and a recorded history of serious collisions. These concerns are particularly relevant when considering the safety of pedestrians, including women and children.

Yours faithfully

